

### DORIS BROADSCALE HABITAT MAPPING – METHODS AND SOURCES

# Jenny Gale, Justin Dix, Tim Le Bas, Adam Carrizales and Pierre Cazenave (National Oceanographic Centre, University of Southampton (UoS))

### 1. Geophysical Datasets – Bathymetry and Backscatter

Swath bathymetry and co-registered backscatter data was collected across the DORIS area during 2008 and 2009, with a total coverage of c. 800 km<sup>2</sup> from the mean low water mark to c. 25 km offshore. Data was acquired and processed using a combination of QPS QINSY (V8), CARIS HIPS/SIPS (V6.1) and Fledermaus (V6.7) software. The data was acquired to IHO (S-44: 2008) Order 1a standard and was collected from four different survey vessels:

- 1. Fugro OSAE MV Victor Hensen (Kongsberg EM710)
- 2. Fugro OSAE MV Meridian (Reson SeaBat 7125)
- 3. Fugro OSAE MV Jetstream (Kongsberg EM3002D)
- 4. Royal Navy HMS Gleaner (Kongsberg EM3002)

Horizontal co-ordinates were referred to ITRF2000 Datum, GRS80 spheroid; grid coordinates are given in terms of the UTM (N) Projection, UTM grid zone 30 (CM 3°W). For vertical datum control OSAE Fugro acquired RTK-GPS tide which was then reduced to Chart Datum using VORF model values provided by the UKHO. These derived heights were calibrated against Tide Guage data from Sandown, Weymouth, West Bay and Swanage. The HMS Gleaner data was reduced to CD using the Proudman Oceanographic Laboratories Tide Guage installed at Weymouth. No co-tidal correction was deemed necessary for the latter survey area. The final data set was quality controlled and converted to OSGB36/Ordnance Datum Newlyn by the UKHO. The final bathymetric data was presented as 1 m bin size ASCII 'XYZ' files which were stitched together as a single non-interpolated ArcGIS10 raster dataset.

The bathymetric data were integrated into a single raster surface that was used to generate four inter-related layers: 1) Colour coded bathymetric raster; 2) Hill-shade raster that was obtained by setting a position for a hypothetical light source and calculating the illumination values of each cell in relation to neighbouring cells; 3) Slope raster which presents the maximum rate of change of slope between each cell and its neighbours, and presented as an angle in degrees; and 4) Aspect raster that identifies the down-slope direction (relative to north) of the maximum rate of change in value from each cell to its neighbours, as calculated in the slope raster.

Backscatter data was processed using the software programme PRISM and ERDAS. The datasets were sub-sampled from the original acquired bin size of 0.1m to 0.25m to reduce the large file sizes (total data volume still 21 Gb). The final image was added to the master GIS for integration with the derived bathymetric layers.

### 2. Hydrodynamic Modelling

The University of Southampton (Cazenave, 2012) has developed a shelf-scale 2D depth-averaged numerical model to better understand both shelf-scale sediment transport pathways and sediment



transport on smaller subset scales comparable with individual tidal sand banks. A flexible triangular mesh provides the ability to selectively refine the model resolution from very coarse on the outer shelf (20 km) to very fine (100 m) over areas of particular interest. High quality coastline data with multibeam bathymetry of large parts of the north-west European continental shelf provide the most up-to-date shelf bathymetry data available as input to the hydrodynamic model. In the case of the DORIS run the UKHO 15 m sub-sampled bathymetry was used to support a nested model with a 150 m bin size.

Grain size data across the majority of the continental shelf has been collected from a range of sources (grab samples, extant distribution maps in the literature and national repositories) to provide a comprehensive grain size distribution map for use in sediment transport calculations on the shelf. This grain size data has also, in combination with the collated bathymetry and measurements of bedform parameters, been used to create the first shelf-scale, variable bed friction map.

The model boundaries, located off the continental shelf, are driven by a predicted tide surface elevation. Data sets of harmonic analysis of satellite altimetry provide eight deep-water diurnal and semi-diurnal tidal constituents from which water elevation has been predicted. The subsequent flows have been extensively calibrated against eighty-one tide gauges and sixty –two current meters across the shelf. This calibration has shown the model is able to reproduce tidal heights to within 20 cm and within 10 minutes in phase. Similarly, calibration of currents shows that current speeds are reproduced to within 7–10 cms<sup>-1</sup>.

For habitat mapping of the DORIS data both hydrodynamic data (Maximum, Medium and Residual Flow) and sediment transport data (residual sediment transport direction and magnitude) for two grains sizes: 375 microns (medium sand) and 4 mm (cobbles) were calculated. These data outputs were used to define interpreted high energy, medium energy and low energy boundaries.

3. Ground Truthing Datasets

A total of 184 grab samples; 168 core samples; 3185 stills photographs and 119 video transects and seventeen dive records have been collated from a variety of sources in order to be calibrate the bathymetry and backscatter data. In addition, georectified aerial photographs and lidar topographic data were integrated with the bathymetry to further enhance the interpretation process. The datasets collated include:

a. SeaStar Grab Samples, Video Sledge and stills photography. The major calibration survey was undertaken by SeaStar Survey Ltd. during 2009. From this survey a total of 22 grab samples were acquired; 119 video transects; from which 3185 stills photos were acquired and visual descriptions of sediment were taken.

b. OSAE Fugro Grab Samples. As part of the deliverable to the DORIS contract a total of 82 grab samples were taken on a 5 km grid across the survey area. Sediment distribution was described following Folk sea bed sediment classification system (Folk, 1954).

c. Bastos et al., 2002. A total of 80 grab samples during undertaken in June and August 2000 for the PhD research project of Alex Bastos. Particle size analysis for all of these samples were given



using the Wentworth scale and presented here following Folk sea bed sediment classification system (Folk, 1954).

d. Donvon and Stride, 1961: In 1959, Donovan and Stride surveyed a large part of Weymouth Bay and Purbeck Bay, using a combination of echo sounding and echo ranging (asdic – a side scan sonar precursor) on the RRS Discovery II. In addition they acquired a total of 125 core samples (and gained access to a further 36 samples from a survey in 1953) using a 8 cwt free-fall corer. In addition, 39 stations were dived in Weymouth Bay with additional sites being dived along the coasts of both Weymouth and Kimmeridge Bays. One hundred and sixty-eight of these cores and seventeen of the dive sites are reported in their 1961 paper and these have been translated into an appropriately formatted shapefile. These samples were focused towards interpreting the bedrock geology and not necessarily the seabed substrate and so have been used primarily to identify the nature of the geological substrate.

e. Coastal Aerial Photographs and Lidar Data: Fugro-BKS Limited, for the Environment Agency, acquired digital aerial photographs along the coastal strip from Portland Bill to Durleston Head, during May and June 2008. Full overlapping coverage was acquired, from a Piper Aztec PA23 survey aircraft, using a large format, Intergraph Digital Mapping Camera, with a T-AS gyro stablilised mount and electronic forward motion compensation system. Positioning was provided by a combination of an Airborne Global Positioning System and Rinex data from the nearest OS active stations. The final ortho-rectified image data was supplied as 24-bit RGB compressed ECW 500 m tiles, with a ground sample distance of 9 cm and a positional accuracy of ± 27 cm.

Lidar data was collected using an ALTM 3100 LiDAR in March 2008. These data are delivered as ArcView ASCII GRID & XYZ Delimited Text files at 2 m resolution and a maximum vertical error ± 0.15 m when compared against terrestrial base stations and cross-sections. The acquired WGS 84 coordinates were converted to the Ordnance Survey Grid and Newlyn Datum. These two datasets were used extensively to correlate the offshore bedrock exposures with the well mapped inter-tidal bedrock outcrops. Both of these datasets were made freely available via the Channel Coastal Observatory website.

### 4. Data Integration and Habitat Mapping Protocols

The results were integrated to derive the first fine-scale habitat map of the Dorset seabed based on the JNCC Marine Habitat Classification Hierarchy (version 04.05 - Connor et al. 2004). Slight modification was made based on the work of James et al., 2007, with the substratum divided into three categories: Rock, 'Rock and Thin Sediment' and Sediment rather than the conventional Rock and Sediment sub-division. The Infra-Littoral - Circa-Littoral divided for this area was taken as 18 mCD (Tinsley pers. comm.) note no Littoral habitats were identified. The final level of classification ranging included both Level 2 (Broad Habitat type) and Level 3 (Habitat complex) components.

The dataset as a whole was assessed using the MESH Confidence Assessment tool (http://www.searchmesh.net/confidence/confidenceAssessment.html) with the dataset achieving a score of 73%.



### References

Bastos. A. C., Kenyon, N. H. & Collins, M. 2002. Sedimentary processes, bedforms and facies, associated with a coastal headland: Portland Bill, Southern UK. Marine Geology. 187, 235-258.

Cazenave P., submitting 2012. Sediment Transport on the North West European Shelf. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Southampton.

Connor, D.W., Allen, J.H., Golding, N., Howell, K.L., Lieberknecht, L.M., Northern, K.O. and Reker, J.B., 2004. The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 04.05. JNCC.

Donovan, D. T. and Stride, A. H. 1961. An acoustic survey of the sea floor south of Dorset and its geological interpretation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, v. 44, p. 299–330.

Folk, R.L., 1954. The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary rock nomenclature. Journal of Geology, 62 (4): 344-359.

James, J.W.C., Coggan, R.A., Blyth-Skyrme, V.J., Morando, A., Birchenough, S.N.R., Bee, E., Limpenny, D.S., Verling, E., Vanstaen, K., Pearce, B., Johnston, C.M., Rocks, K.F., Philpott, S.L. and Rees, H.L., 2007. Eastern English Channel Marine Habitat Map. Sci. Ser. Tech Rep., Cefas Lowestoft, 139: 191pp.

### DORIS FOCI HABITAT MAPPING - METHODS AND SOURCES

### Peter Tinsley, Dorset Wildlife Trust

Using a combination of data from DORIS, Dorset Seasearch Channel Coastal Observatory and Justin Dix, the following FOCI Habitats were identified:

### **Burrowed mud**

Started with low energy areas from UoS 375micron residual layer (<0) then selected all flat terrain as subset (used automatic landform classification of bathymetry in SAGA based on terrain profile). Subset of Seastar seabed photo records of "burrows". "Vertical burrows" seems to fit best with this habitat.

Generalised areas covering both of these types of locations were drawn and are provided in the GIS tool.

### Fragile Sponge and Anthozoan Communities on subtidal rocky habitats



The BAP description lists a number of illustrative biotopes of which two, CR.HCR.XFa.ByErSp.Eun: *Eunicella verrucosa* and *Pentapora foliacea* on wave-exposed circalittoral rock and CR.HCR.XFa.ByErSp.DysAct: Mixed turf of bryozoans and erect sponges with *Dysidea fragilis* and *Actinothoe sphyrodeta* on tide-swept wave exposed circalittoral rock, are recorded in the Dorset Marine Biodiversity database.

A predictive map of this biotope has been produced using the following assumptions:

Depth greater than 17m below sea level – derived from 50m bathymetry grid

Bedrock or stable seabed – taken from UoS Bedrock layer (higher confidence) and bedrock with sediment layer (lower confidence)

Water movement/energy levels – BAP description describes this as occurring on locally sheltered bedrock close to tide-swept of wave exposed areas. Used the modelled layer for residual transport of 375 micron particle, clipped to value of below 150

Combining these layers gives the final map. Overlaid on this are the records of characteristic branching sponges, *Axinella, Raspailia* and *Stelligera* plus records of *Eunicella verrucosa*.

Generalised areas covering both predicted areas and the main record areas were drawn and are again provided in the GIS tool.

### Intertidal boulder communities

Two layers provided:

All intertidal survey polygons with the word "boulder" in the habitat description

All intertidal survey polyons assigned the biotope LR.MLR.BF.Fser.Bo - *Fucus serratus* and underboulder fauna on exposed to moderately exposed lower eulittoral boulders

The polygons are derived from DWT's intertidal survey, ground-truthing CCO's coastal aerial photographs.

### Littoral chalk communities

All intertidal survey polygons with "chalk" in the habitat description

Polygons from DWT intertidal survey based on ground-truthing CCO's coastal aerial photos



#### **Maerl beds**

Plotted all mearl records from DERC and DORIS and removed those described as "dead". Then put 500m buffer round clusters of records.

### Maerl or coarse shell gravel with burrowing sea cucumbers

There are several records of abundant or superabundant Neopentadactyla mixta. Created 500m buffer around clusters of records of Neopentadactyla (common and above) and clipped the UoS rock layer from this.

This is probably a subset of the FOCI habitat, where the sea-cucumber density is especially high

#### **Saline Lagoons**

The Ordnance Survey High Water Mark of The Fleet was used for this layer.

### Seagrass beds

DERC 2004 Inventory, with point records removed plus the more recent polygons from DORIS added.

### Sea-pen and burrowing megafauna communities

The layers given here are relating to the biotope SS.SMu.IFiMu.PhiVir and records of the slender seapens, *Virgularia mirabilis*. There's sometimes a bit of confusion as to whether this biotope counts as part of this FOCI as there is a "**Seapens and burrowing megafauna in circalittoral fine mud"** biotope which does not include SS.SMu.IFiMu.PhiVir (as it is shallower). The Marine SACS review -<u>http://www.ukmarinesac.org.uk/sea-pens.htm</u> clearly includes SS.SMu.IFiMu.PhiVir. Clipped the burrowed mud layer to Portland Harbour.

#### Subtidal Chalk

Chalk areas were extracted from the UoS rock layer. Intertidal chalk areas were erased.



### Tidal Swept Algal Communities

These areas were represented by extracting high energy sites with infralittoral rock from the habitat map produced by Justin Dix.